

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/529,257	Applicant(s) AALTONEN, JANNE	
	Examiner DANIEL MITCHELL	Art Unit 2477	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) DANIEL MITCHELL.

(3) _____.

 (2) Christopher Swickhamer (Reg. No. 59,853).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 April 2010
Time: 4:00pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

25, 29, 30, 33, 37, 38, 40, 45, 46

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An Examiner's Amendment was proposed incorporating the subject matter from dependent claims 29 and 30; 37 and 38; and 45 and 46 into independent claims 25, 33, and 40 respectively. However no agreement was reached based on the Attorney not receiving correspondence from his client.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)